ACT 037/001





PLEASE REPLY TO Toronto

OFFICE

February 14, 1978.

Mr. Ronald W. Daniels, Coordinator of Mined Land Development.

State of Utah, Department of Natural Resources, Division of Oil, Gas, and Mining, 1588 West North Temple, Salt Lake City, Utah 84116.

Dear Mr. Daniels:

I am forwarding to you herewith copies of the revised Mined Lands Reclamation Agreement (Escrow) and the Escrow Agreement, both of which were sent to you today by telecopier. These agreements follow the same form as those which you originally sent to me. The revisions which I have made are to provide for such things as allowance for interest credit, disbursement of funds after work has been completed and authority to the Operator to direct investment of escrow funds within prescribed limitations. I have not marked all the changes which were made in the revised agreements, but I think they will be quite apparent to you.

Because of the time limitation imposed on us by our Source Materials License, I request that these agreements be submitted to the Board for approval as soon as possible. In the meantime, if you have any comments on the agreements, we would be pleased to hear from you. Also, Mr. Scarano has said that he would like to see the agreements and to have his legal department examine them before they are finalized and signed. Perhaps you would be good enough to forward copies to him when you are satisfied that we are close to agreement as to content.

Unfortunately the new estimates which were prepared to cover the new reclamation plan were not sent to you by telecopy today, so I have enclosed a copy herewith. The basis for these new estimates is taken from your original estimates. The amounts of \$3,064,212.00 and

\$122,573.00 represent the total escalated estimated cost and the annual deposit respectively. These amounts will eventually be inserted in the appropriate blank spaces in the agreements.

Yours very truly,

JGL/ms

J. G. Littlejohn.

Encl.

c.c. R. Scarano.

Attachment "one"

[FB 1 4 1978]

To: P.F. Pullen

Date February 14, 1978

From: K.C. Winckworth

Subject: Lisbon Tailings Stabilization

- (1) Total Waste on Property. = 144,000 Cubic Yards
 (This includes 36,000 Cubic Yards
 from future footwall development.)
- (2) Waste Required (Spread Material) = 737,000 Cubic Yards for 7' cover.

This will be obtained as follows: -

- (a) 144,000 Cu Yds. on property @ \$0.50/Cu Yd. = \$72,000
- (b) 485,000 Bank Cu Yds. from external source @ \$1.50/Cu Yd. =\$727,500

Total

\$799,500

Say \$800,000

(3) Costs

	OI	peration	Amount	Rate	Cost
Α	<u>C</u> 1	lean Up			
	II	Removel of Structures & Equipment Removal of Trash and Debris Leveling of Ancillary Facilities	1 Cat/80 Hrs. 2 Trks/80 Hrs. 10Lbrs/80 Hrs.	\$50/Hr. \$20/Hr. \$10/Hr.	\$4,000 \$3,200 \$8,000
		Pads and Access Road			

700 Hours

B Regrade and Recontouring Tailings Ponds

I	Earthwork including haulage
	of soil to tailings ponds
II	Spreading soil and surfacing

/ '	737, 000 Cu Yds.	\$1.08/CuYd	\$800,000
	(@ 7' Depth) 1 Cat. for		

\$50/Hr.

\$35,000

C Stabilization of Site

	and fertilization	Drag Chaining Scarifying Seeding	60 Ac @ \$170/Ac = \$10, 200 60 Ac @ \$120/Ac = \$ 7, 200 60 Ac @ \$170/Ac = \$10, 200
III	Construction of Terraces, Waterbars, etc.	Seed Fertilization	70 Ac @ \$170/Ac = \$11, 900 60 Ac @ \$170/Ac = \$10, 200

\$899,900

- D <u>Inflation</u> 25 Years @ 5% \$3,047,380
- E <u>Ditching</u> Proposed New Ditch \$5,000
- F New Total include Cost of New Ditch = \$904,900

Escalated 25 Years @ 5%

= \$3,064,312

Note: - In Item (2) we are comparing bank yards with broken yards of material so (2) a) and (2) b) will not add up to 737,000 Cubic Yards of spread material.

KCW: ma

To: P.F. Pullen

Date February 14, 1978

From: K.C. Winckworth

Subject: Lisbon Tailings Stabilization

- (1) Total Waste on Property. = 144,000 Cubic Yards
 (This includes 36,000 Cubic Yards
 from future footwall development.)
- (2) Waste Required (Spread Material) = 737,000 Cubic Yards for 7' cover.

This will be obtained as follows: -

- (a) 144,000 Cu Yds. on property @ \$0.50/Cu Yd. = \$72,000
- (b) 485,000 Bank Cu Yds. from external source @ \$1.50/Cu Yd. =\$727,500

Total

\$799,500

Say \$800,000

(3) Costs

0	peration	Amount	Rate	Cost
A <u>C</u>	lean Up			
I II III		1 Cat/80 Hrs. 2 Trks/80 Hrs. 10Lbrs/80 Hrs.	\$50/Hr. \$20/Hr. \$10/Hr.	\$4,000 \$3,200 \$8,000

B Regrade and Recontouring Tailings Ponds

I	Earthwork including haulage of soil to tailings ponds	737, 000 Cu Yds. (@ 7' Depth)	\$1.08/CuYd	\$800,000
II	Spreading soil and surfacing	1 Cat. for 700 Hours	\$50/Hr.	\$35,000

C Stabilization of Site

I Soil Prep. Scarification and fertilization II Seeding or planting	Drag Chaining Scarifying Seeding	60 Ac @ \$170/Ac = \$10, 200 60 Ac @ \$120/Ac = \$ 7, 200 60 Ac @ \$170/Ac = \$10, 200 70 Ac @ \$170/Ac = \$11, 900
III Construction of Terraces,	Sced	60 Ac @ \$170/Ac = \$10, 200
Waterbars, etc.	Fertilization	\$899, 900

- D Inflation 25 Years @ 5% \$3,047,380
- E Ditching Proposed New Ditch \$5,000
- F New Total include Cost of New Ditch = \$904,900

Escalated 25 Years @ 5% = \$3,064,312

Note: - In Item (2) we are comparing bank yards with broken yards of material so (2) a) and (2) b) will not add up to 737,000 Cubic Yards of spread material.

KCW: ma